## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action of December 19, 2006 and the Advisory Action dated April 26, 2007 have been carefully reviewed and these remarks are responsive thereto. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested.

Claim 4 has been amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 7.

Accordingly, claim 7 has been canceled. This amendment raises no new issues or new matter.

It is believed that this response fully addresses all remaining issues. In the interest in advancing prosecution to a Notice of Allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if disagrees.

## Drawings

In regard to the endless track, claim 3 had been canceled hence this objection is moot. 37 CFR 83 applies to the claims. See section (a).

(a) The drawing in a nonprovisional application must show every feature of the invention specified in the *claims*. (Emphasis added)

Section (a) must apply if an objection is made under 37 CFR 83. Since the claims do not contain an endless track, this objection is improper and should be withdrawn.

## Claims

Claims 4, 7, 10, and 13-17 stand rejected as indefinite. Claim 4 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 7. Claim 7 has accordingly been canceled. Claims 4, 15 and 18 have also been amended as recommended by the Examiner in the Advisory Action. The undersigned is appreciative of the Examiner's efforts in this application.

It is believed that "at least a rear end side of an object is supported in a box body in a horizontally-movable manner" provides sufficient connection information. The object has a rear side and the rear side is supported by a box body such that the rear side of the object can move (e.g. a drawer sliding out of a chest.) The relationship between the box body and object (e.g. a drawer) is clearly defined and one skilled in the art would understand the same.

Response to Office Action dated December 19, 2006 US Serial No. 10/812.359

The Examiner is correct that a floor does not form part of the invention. It is merely used as a reference for how, for example, the front end side of the object is supported in a manner movable back and forth along a floor.

It is respectfully requested that since claim 4 has been amended to recite the allowable material of claim 7, that the remaining issues regarding claim 4 have been addressed.

In regard to claim 18, attention is drawn to page 9, lines 14-19, of the specification and Figures 2-4. This passage fully supports that the free motion rotating body travels a linear distance along the floor at half traveling speed of the object traveling a linear distance along the floor. Based on this description, one skilled in the art would have selected the appropriate rotating body to achieve the result of claim 18.

Withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Claims 4, 13-15 and 18 stand rejected as unpatentable over Rapp in view of Ronda. Claims 16 and 17 stand rejected as unpatentable over Rapp in view of Ronda in view of Shapleigh. Claim 4 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 7. Claim 7 was indicated to contain allowable subject matter and was not included in these rejections. Withdrawal of the instant rejections is requested.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, withdrawal of the instant rejections and issuance of a Notice of Allowance are requested. If any additional fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: SIS 07

By:

Susan A. Wolffe Registration No. 39

1001 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4597 Tel: (202) 824-3000

Fax: (202) 824-3001

SAW